

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Dourado, Eli F
 COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
 DEPT: Economics
 COURSE: ECON 306
 SECTION: 005

RESPONSE KEY

S STRONGLY AGREE . . . (5)
 (4)
 (3)
 (2)
 D STRONGLY DISAGREE . . . (1)
 NA NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	1	2	3	4	5
Am't of effort put into the course: 1 very low 5=very high	0	0	4	9	9
Times absent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more	10	9	3	0	0
Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A	0	1	2	9	10
Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad	0	5	10	7	0
Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4	0	0	9	10	3
Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other	0	1	2	17	0

PERCENTAGES

ITEMS	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA	AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
1. Course re'mts were clearly stated in the syllabus	0.0	0.0	4.5	22.7	72.7	0.0	CLASS	4.68	5.0	0.58	22
	0.5	2.0	4.8	21.0	71.4	0.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.61	5.0	0.72	2,121
	0.6	1.3	4.5	17.9	75.5	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.67	5.0	0.68	26,095
	0.8	1.6	5.3	20.2	71.8	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.61	5.0	0.73	78,474
2. The course was well organized	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	77.3	0.0	CLASS	4.77	5.0	0.44	22
	1.4	2.7	8.3	24.7	62.9	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.45	5.0	0.86	2,121
	1.3	2.7	8.1	22.2	65.7	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.48	5.0	0.85	26,020
	1.6	3.0	9.1	23.5	62.7	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.43	5.0	0.89	78,214
3. The instructor explained the material clearly	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.0	CLASS	4.55	5.0	0.49	22
	1.6	4.1	9.7	26.5	58.1	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.35	5.0	0.93	2,126
	1.1	2.9	9.1	23.3	63.5	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.45	5.0	0.86	26,072
	1.9	3.9	10.8	24.4	58.9	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.35	5.0	0.95	78,397
4. Comments and suggestions on returned material helpfu	0.0	0.0	4.5	31.8	54.5	9.1	CLASS	4.55	5.0	0.61	22
	2.7	4.6	11.7	25.6	47.5	8.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.20	5.0	1.03	2,117
	1.8	3.5	9.7	21.5	59.6	4.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.39	5.0	0.94	26,005
	2.5	4.1	10.9	21.3	53.5	7.8	UNIVERSITY	4.29	5.0	1.02	78,026
5. The instructor showed respect for students	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.0	CLASS	4.91	5.0	0.31	22
	1.0	1.5	4.6	14.3	78.5	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.68	5.0	0.72	2,122
	0.8	1.2	3.7	12.6	81.8	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.73	5.0	0.66	25,992
	0.9	1.4	4.3	14.7	78.5	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.69	5.0	0.71	78,125
6. The instructor was accessible in person or electron	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	81.8	9.1	CLASS	4.90	5.0	0.32	22
	1.0	1.9	7.4	20.9	66.0	2.8	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.53	5.0	0.80	2,107
	0.7	1.5	5.3	16.1	74.8	1.5	COL/SCHL/INST	4.65	5.0	0.72	25,974
	1.0	1.9	6.3	17.8	70.9	2.2	UNIVERSITY	4.59	5.0	0.78	78,010
7. The instructor followed the stated crs. grading p'l	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	86.4	0.0	CLASS	4.86	5.0	0.38	22
	0.6	0.7	4.6	17.1	76.0	1.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.69	5.0	0.64	2,124
	0.5	0.8	3.9	15.8	77.5	1.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.71	5.0	0.63	26,019
	0.6	1.0	4.5	16.9	72.7	4.2	UNIVERSITY	4.67	5.0	0.68	78,147
8. The exams reflected what was covered in the course	0.0	0.0	4.5	13.6	81.8	0.0	CLASS	4.77	5.0	0.53	22
	1.1	2.3	8.1	20.4	63.7	4.4	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.50	5.0	0.84	2,117
	0.8	1.7	5.6	16.3	61.8	13.9	COL/SCHL/INST	4.59	5.0	0.77	25,792
	1.1	2.2	6.4	16.8	57.1	16.4	UNIVERSITY	4.51	5.0	0.85	77,408
9. The as'mnts helped me learn the material	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	63.6	9.1	CLASS	4.70	5.0	0.46	22
	2.3	3.7	10.0	22.5	53.4	8.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.32	5.0	0.99	2,115
	1.6	3.1	9.3	22.7	60.7	2.7	COL/SCHL/INST	4.42	5.0	0.91	25,951
	2.0	3.5	9.9	23.0	57.0	4.5	UNIVERSITY	4.36	5.0	0.95	77,953
10. Readings helped me understand the course topic	0.0	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.0	CLASS	4.45	5.0	0.65	22
	1.9	3.6	10.9	24.7	55.6	3.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.33	5.0	0.95	2,119
	1.6	3.5	10.5	23.6	58.5	2.3	COL/SCHL/INST	4.37	5.0	0.93	25,919
	2.1	3.8	11.9	24.2	53.1	4.8	UNIVERSITY	4.29	5.0	0.98	77,833

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Dourado, Eli F
 COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
 DEPT: Economics
 COURSE: ECON 306
 SECTION: 005

RESPONSE KEY

S. STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5)
 (4)
 (3)
 (2)
 D. STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1)
 NA NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
11. Ass'mnts and exams were returned properly	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	0.0	CLASS	4.81	5.0	0.39	21
	0.8	0.8	4.7	16.8	75.4	1.6	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.68	5.0	0.67	2,110
	1.7	2.3	7.9	20.8	65.5	1.7	COL/SCHL/INST	4.49	5.0	0.87	25,880
	1.8	2.6	7.8	20.2	61.3	6.2	UNIVERSITY	4.46	5.0	0.90	77,684
12. The ins'tr covered the im'p aspect of the course	0.0	0.0	4.5	13.6	81.8	0.0	CLASS	4.77	5.0	0.53	22
	0.8	2.1	5.2	21.6	70.1	0.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.59	5.0	0.75	2,126
	0.6	1.5	5.0	19.1	73.6	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.64	5.0	0.70	26,030
	0.9	1.7	6.4	21.3	69.4	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.57	5.0	0.77	78,258
13. The ins'tr made the class intellectually stimulating	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	95.5	0.0	CLASS	4.91	5.0	0.44	22
	2.6	4.0	10.8	21.8	60.7	0.1	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.34	5.0	1.00	2,124
	2.2	3.6	9.3	20.8	64.0	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.41	5.0	0.95	25,987
	3.2	4.5	11.2	22.1	58.8	0.2	UNIVERSITY	4.29	5.0	1.04	78,130
14. The ins'tr encouraged the students to be involved	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.0	CLASS	4.90	5.0	0.32	21
	2.6	4.1	12.4	25.3	53.9	1.8	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.26	5.0	1.00	2,111
	1.3	2.7	7.8	18.8	69.1	0.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.52	5.0	0.85	25,947
	2.1	3.6	9.6	20.2	63.7	0.8	UNIVERSITY	4.41	5.0	0.95	77,983

OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE
 PERCENTAGES

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) POOR	(2)	(3) OK	(4)	(5) EX'L	(6) NA					
15. My overall rating of the teaching	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	CLASS	4.82	5.0	0.38	22
	1.9	3.1	10.2	25.5	59.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.37	5.0	0.92	2,096
	1.5	2.5	9.3	22.8	64.0	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.45	5.0	0.87	25,706
	2.1	3.1	11.5	24.1	59.2	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.35	5.0	0.95	77,185
16. My overall rating of this course	0.0	0.0	4.8	19.0	76.2	0.0	CLASS	4.71	5.0	0.55	21
	1.9	3.9	17.0	28.5	48.7	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.18	4.0	0.97	2,090
	1.7	3.6	14.6	28.8	51.4	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.25	5.0	0.95	25,605
	2.5	4.2	16.4	28.8	48.0	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.15	4.0	1.01	76,782

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.

In addition to ranking the class on a 1-5 scale in several dimensions, students were asked to answer two questions in a free format (though some chose to comment without regard to these):

1. What aspects of the course and the way it was taught helped you to learn?
2. What modifications do you suggest for the next time the course is taught?

I have included all of the written comments submitted.

This class was awesome, Stimulating & Fun

1) Scaring me into thinking I was going to fail!

2) Nothing, it was great.

Next time use the online quizzes, I think they help more